

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest.

They have a legal
obligation to
present both
candidates views.
At the very least,
the station MUST
present the
pro-Bush/anti-Kerry
ads with disclaimers
stating that these
are the views of the
station management,
and NOT factual,
unbiased, news.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a returned
postcard. Thank you.